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The role of Negative Pressure Wound Therapy in the management of orocutaneous fistulas in cancer patients – a case series

Iwona A. Niedzielska, Katarzyna M. Ściskała, Michał M. Bąk, Damian Niedzielski

CASE REPORT

Abstract—Background: Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT) is used in the treatment of various wounds. The study demonstrates a novel use of vessel patch as a sealant of mucosal orifice fistulas.

Methods: The study included ten patients with orocutaneous fistulas in the course of treatment of oral malignancies. Patients were divided into treatment (NPWT) and control (conventional dressings) group. In four cases, the vessel patch was applied. We used the Hartmann Vivano system with 50 mmHg to 130 mmHg negative pressure values.

Results: The median age of patients was 61.5 years (range: 31 – 73 years). The median treatment time was 83 days (range: 14 – 272 days). The median total treatment cost was 5.300 EUR (range: 2490 – 7821 EUR) in the NPWT group and 12.000 EUR (range: 3.060 – 22.745 EUR) in the control group.

Conclusion: The use of NPWT is a cost-effective and reasonable method for the management of orocutaneous fistulas and other complications in maxillofacial surgery.

Keywords—NPWT, orocutaneous fistulas, cancer,

INTRODUCTION

The frequency of cutaneous fistulas formation after reconstruction surgery of head and neck varies between 2% to 66%. Frederick et al. reported this complication in 3% of cases in their retrospective study carried out on 1,000 patients with the use of free vascularized tissue grafts. Sousa et al. noted that among patients who underwent a total laryngectomy, the incidence of fistula formation was 15%, and it was the most common complication in this group of patients. The mean time to fistula formation was 3.5 days, with a standard deviation of 13.7 days. Malnutrition, positive surgical margins, the necessity of neck dissection, the presence of tracheostomy, tumor stage, and prior radiotherapy are considered to be contributing factors to fistula formation. However, a mechanistic dependency between those factors and fistula incidence has not been demonstrated.

Moreover, surgical reconstruction promoting insufficient vascular viability of the tissues, poor suturing technique failing to provide watertight connection, and the contamination from the upper gastrointestinal tract contribute to fistula formation. Orocutaneous and pharyngocutaneous fistulas cause serious inconvenience for patients. Applying dressings or covering them is widely restricted, and they may impede oral feeding.

Furthermore, the occurrence of fistulas prolongs hospitalization time, raise therapy costs, and may postpone adjuvant therapy. There is an agreement that cutaneous fistulas should be initially treated conservatively with antibiotics, wound cleansing with the application of conventional dressings, and transition to enteral feeding. A fraction of fistulas responds to this type of treatment. Sousa et al. reported successful closure by nonsurgical means in all cases. Nevertheless, McNeal et al. reported that spontaneous closure of pharyngocutaneous fistulas occurred after an average of 50 days (range: 10 – 120 days) among all the patients and 24 days (range: 14 – 60 days) in patients who did not receive radiotherapy. Still, some fistulas do not respond to conservative treatment and require surgical treatment.

Lately, the usefulness of Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT) in the treatment of orocutaneous and pharyngocutaneous fistulas was discussed by Andrews et al. in 2008, Dhir et al. in 2009, Tay et al. in 2011 in a case report, Tian et al. in 2013 in a study based on Tay report, Yang et al. in 2013 and Koijima et al. in 2015. In this latest study, Kojima et al. sutured the cutaneous side of fistulas to achieve airtightness and applied negative pressure of –200 mmHg. On the other side, Yang et al. emphasized the necessity of suturing the mucosal side of the fistula. Tay et al. and Tian et al. achieved the seal on the mucosal side of the fistula with cotton gauze immersed in the dental alginate impression material. In this paper, we present a novel method of sealing the mucosal side of the fistula with the use of a non-resorbable vessel patch. The application of the vessel patch facilitates the watertight suturing on the mucosal side of the fistula, thus stopping the salivary leak into the fistula.

This solution has the following advantages:
1) facilitates watertight suturing on the mucosal side of fistula avoiding unnecessary tension,
2) allows watertight suturing in the situation of tissue deficit when it is impossible to repair the defect by primary closure
3) brings together and stabilizes wound margins, and
4) provides a watertight seal, thus stopping the saliva
leakage independently of the NPWT usage on the cutaneous side.

The last one simplifies the conventional wound care because dressings are loaded with less exudate. It is worth noting that (5) once sutured, the vessel patch stays in place and does not require replacement when the cutaneous dressings are changed (these can be either conventional or NPWT).

We have not found any prior reports on such usage of vessel patches in the literature. Vessel patches or vascular prostheses are commonly used in cardiac and vascular surgery in the treatment of injuries, aneurysms, congenital defects, and repairing defects of vessels and cardiac walls. They are produced from biocompatible synthetic polymers or autologous, allogenic, or xenogenic pericardium. Polyethylene terephthalate (PET), and Polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE) have been used in cardiac surgery due to their mechanical properties and satisfactory durability. This paper aims to evaluate the usefulness of NPWT and its combination with a vessel patch application in the management of orocutaneous fistulas in head and neck cancer patients.

**Materials and methods**

**A. Patients**

The study included patients with orocutaneous fistulas treated in the dep of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial and Oral Surgery of Silesian Medical University in Katowice between 2012 and 2014. Patients were divided into two groups: the treatment group (NPWT) and the control group (conventional dressings).

The inclusion criteria were:

1. the presence of orocutaneous fistula verified with dye test and
2. lack of possibility or indications for surgical management of the fistulas. The study included ten patients.

**B. Wound management**

Physicians performed all the wound care procedures. NPWT dressings were changed every two to three days, and the conventional dressings were changed every day. The diagnosis of orocutaneous fistula was based on clinical examination and confirmed by the dye test with iodopovidone. The mucosal orifice of the fistula was flushed with water iodopovidone solution Braunol (B.Braun, Melsungen, Germany). In the presence of an orocutaneous fistula, iodopovidone solution appeared on the skin surface. In all cases, mucosal orifices were identified. In order to seal the mucosal opening of the fistula and to stop the saliva leakage in 4 cases (1, 2, 6, 7) the vessel patch was tightly sutured to the margins of the mucosal orifice with the use of polypropylene monofilament sutures (Dafilon, B.Braun, Melsungen, Germany). We present an application of vessel patch as a mucosal side sealant of orocutaneous fistulas.

The use of the vessel patch allowed us to maintain the pressure necessary to facilitate an effective NPWT in the wound bed. Moreover, in both treatment and control groups, the application of the vessel patch ceased the saliva seepage.

Shape stability of the vessel patch provides stabilization for fistula margins and increases the tendency to intraoral component closure by keeping margins closer to each other. In the control group the cutaneous side of the fistula was managed conventionally with silver dressings Aquacel Ag (ConvaTec, Bridgewater Township, NY, USA), Atramaun Ag (Paul Hartmann, Heidenheim an der Brenz, Germany), alginate dressing Sorbalgon (Paul Hartmann, Heidenheim an der Brenz, Germany) and iodopovidone or 10% NaCl compresses. In the treatment group, the NPWT dressing was applied on the cutaneous side of the fistula.

Before the introduction of the NPWT, necrotic tissues were removed from the wounds by surgical necrotomy. We used special wound dressings — Tender Wet (Paul Hartmann, Heidenheim an der Brenz, Germany) or lavaseptics with the use of an aqueous solution of octenidine and phenoxyethanol — Octenisept (Schülke & Mayr, Norderstedt, Germany). The NPWT system used in this study was Vivano (Paul Hartmann, Heidenheim an der Brenz, Germany) consisting of vacuum producing device VivanoTec, exudate canister VivanoTec, VivanoTec Port with multi-lumen drain and VivanoMed dressing kit. The dressing used in this study was the black microporous polyester polyurethane VivanoMed Foam. The sterile foam dressing was adjusted to wound shape, and then it was sealed with semipermeable Hydrofilm foil. Due to the complex anatomy of the head and neck and the presence of foramina, which reduced the surface available for sticking the foil, it was vital to shave the facial hair and degrease the skin meticulously. The latter was achieved by using Kodan Tinktur forte (Schülke & Mayr, Norderstedt, Germany). Hydrofilm foil prevents the infection by maintaining the moisture and simultaneously stops the growth of anaerobic bacteria due to its permeability. In order to avoid skin maceration, the skin at the margins was protected with Atramaun Ag (Paul Hartmann, Heidenheim an der Brenz, Germany) or Gras-solind (Paul Hartmann, Heidenheim an der Brenz, Germany) dressings. Patients were administered empirical or targeted antibiotics. No drugs reducing salivary output were used. The research was approved by the Medical University of Silesia Local Ethics Board.

**Results**

The study included ten patients who developed ten orocutaneous fistulas. The group consisted of seven men and three women with a median age of 61.5 years (range, 31 – 73 years). Three patients had no comorbidities (3, 6, 10). The rest suffered from cardiovascular diseases. Moreover, one patient (5) was diagnosed with asthma-COPD overlap syndrome and chronic rhinosinusitis; the other one (8) was also treated for non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. One patient also suffered from Osler-Weber-Rendu disease complicated with secondary anemia.

Regarding the type of surgery that led to complications, it can be said that orocutaneous fistulas formed in patients who underwent: (1) segmental resection of mandible with free nonvascularized hip bone graft reconstruction and a locking plate together with selective neck dissection in four cases (1,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Smoker</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>Family history</th>
<th>Previous HNSSC</th>
<th>Prior RT</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>TNM</th>
<th>Type of surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>64/F</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>IHD, HT, cardiac arrhythmia</td>
<td>Mother: thyroid cancer Sister: CNS tumor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SCC of the floor of mouth</td>
<td>T4N2cM0</td>
<td>Segmental resection of mandible. Reconstruction with free nonvascularized hip bone graft and reconstruction locking plate. SND.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>Former</td>
<td>IHD, brady-cardia</td>
<td>Father: laryngeal cancer</td>
<td>SCC of cheek</td>
<td>60 Gy 11 months earlier</td>
<td>Osteoradionecrosis of the mandible body and ramus</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sequestrectomy and fistula closure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SCC of the floor of mouth</td>
<td>T4N2aM0</td>
<td>Segmental resection of mandible. Reconstruction with reconstruction locking plate. SND.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>73/M</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>HT, cardiac arrhythmia, PAD, Post CABG</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>SCC of the cheek</td>
<td>T2N2bM0</td>
<td>Resection of cheek tumor. Segmental resection of mandible. Reconstruction with reconstruction locking plate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>64/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Prostate cancer RT treatment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SCC of the floor of mouth</td>
<td>T3N1M0</td>
<td>Segmental resection of the mandible. Reconstruction with reconstruction locking plate and Bakamjian flap. SND.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ameloblastoma of the mandible body in the area from 37 to 32</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Segmental resection of mandible. Reconstruction with free nonvascularized hip bone graft and reconstruction locking plate. SND.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>55/M</td>
<td>Former</td>
<td>HT</td>
<td>Mother: CNS tumor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SCC of the floor of mouth</td>
<td>T4aN2bM0</td>
<td>Segmental resection of mandible. Reconstruction with free nonvascularized hip bone graft and reconstruction locking plate. SND.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>59/F</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NIDDM, HT</td>
<td>Mother: leukemia, Brother: laryngeal cancer, Sister: uterine cancer</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SCC of the floor of mouth</td>
<td>T2N2cM0</td>
<td>Segmental resection of mandible. Reconstruction with reconstruction locking plate. SND.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>47/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>HHT, secondary anemia, HT,</td>
<td>Mother and gradfather: HHT, Great-grandfather: laryngeal cancer</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SCC of the floor of mouth</td>
<td>T2N2bM0</td>
<td>Resection of tumor of oral cavity. SND.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>59/K</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (no medical records)</td>
<td>SCC of the floor of mouth</td>
<td>T3N2aM0</td>
<td>Segmental resection of mandible. Reconstruction with free nonvascularized hip bone graft and reconstruction locking plate. SND.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


6, 7, 10); (2) segmental resection of mandible with locking plate reconstruction, together with selective neck dissection in cases (3, 4, 8); (3) resection of squamous cell carcinoma of the floor of the mouth in one case (9); (4) surgical treatment of osteoradionecrosis of mandible caused in the course of adjuvant radiation therapy for oral carcinomas in 2 cases (2, 5); (5) segmental resection of mandible with free nonvascularized hip bone graft reconstruction using a locking plate in the course of ameloblastoma treatment in one case (10). The median time from surgery to fistula diagnosis was six days (range, 3 – 15 days). In one case (4), the fistula formed in the course of radiation therapy and the cutaneous orifice revealed as a suppurative fistula in the submental region. In all the other cases, the cutaneous orifice of fistulas formed in surgical incisions.

Patient demographics and tumor characteristics are presented in (Tab. III). Nine of 10 patients were hospitalized due to squamous cell carcinoma or complications of its treatment, whereas one patient (6) was treated because of ameloblastoma of the mandible. Seven patients (1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10) underwent segmental resection of the mandible, two (2, 5) underwent sequestrectomy, and one (9) underwent resection of tumor of the oral cavity. Selective neck dissection was performed on seven patients (1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10). In four cases (1, 6, 7, 10), reconstruction of resected mandible was performed with free nonvascularized hip bone graft and reconstruction locking plate, while in three cases (3, 4, 8), the reconstruction plate was used standalone. One patient underwent a tracheotomy. Treatment time was defined as the time between fistula diagnosis and complete wound healing recorded in patients’ medical history. For one patient (2), recorded treatment time represents the time from fistula diagnosis to the time he stopped showing up to our outpatient clinic. Time from surgery to fistula formation (days)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Intraoral site</th>
<th>Extraoral site</th>
<th>Additional treatment</th>
<th>Fistula formation (days)</th>
<th>NPWT time (days)</th>
<th>NPWT settings</th>
<th>Vessel patch Y/N</th>
<th>Treatment time (days)</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>Incision in submental region</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Continuous mode: –100, –85 mmHg</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>T: 7.600€ D: 124€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mucosa of cheek</td>
<td>Incision in submandibular region</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Continuous mode: –50, –75, –85, –90 mmHg</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>T: 7.821€ D: 463€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Retromolar trigone</td>
<td>Incision in buccal region</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Continuous mode: –125 mmHg</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>T: 5.300€ D: 434€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>Submental region</td>
<td>Removal of reconstruction plate. Surgical fistula closure</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous mode: –85, –120, –130 mmHg</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>T: 2.490€ D: 533€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>Neck incision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Continuous mode –125 mmHg</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>T: 3.500€ D: 300€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>Neck incision</td>
<td>Surgical fistula closure</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No NPWT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>T: 3.060€ D: 13€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>Neck incision</td>
<td>Removal of free bone graft. Surgical fistula closure</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No NPWT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>T: 22.745€ D: 7€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>Incision in submandibular region</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No NPWT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>T: 12.000€ D: 57€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>Neck incision</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No NPWT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>T: 7.397€ D: 8€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Floor of mouth</td>
<td>Incision in submental region</td>
<td>Removal of reconstruction plate. Surgical fistula closure</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No NPWT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>T: 14.987€ D: 21€</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T — total treatment cost, D — dressings cost, RT — radiotherapy group and 463 euro (range, 124 – 1282 EUR) in NPWT group. All above-mentioned values where calculated with the exchange rate of 4,20 PLN for 1 EUR. Patient stopped showing up to our outpatient clinic. Time from surgery to fistula formation (days)
value because of the painful burning sensation reported by the patient. In two patients from the control group (6, 7), in whom the NPWT was not employed, and in one patient (4) from the NPWT group, it was necessary to perform additional surgical procedures in order to close the fistulas finally.

Furthermore, for all the patients, we performed the analysis of total treatment costs regarding the cost of hospital stay, operating theater, and dressing materials used. This data is presented in (Tab. I). The median of the total treatment cost for all patients was 7498.5 EUR (range, 3.060 – 22.745 EUR); in the control group, it was 12.000 EUR (range, 3.060 – 22.745 EUR) whereas in NPWT group it was 5.300 EUR (range, 2490 – 7821 EUR). Median of dressing materials cost was 90.5 EUR (range, 7 – 1282 EUR) for all patients, 13 EUR (range, 7 – 57 EUR) in the control group, and 463 EUR (range, 124 – 1282 EUR) in NPWT group. All the values mentioned above were calculated with the exchange rate of 4.20 PLN for 1 EUR.

DISCUSSION

Nowadays, Negative Pressure Wound Therapy is commonly applied in orthopedic traumatology, soft tissue injuries, management of skin grafts, treatment of pressure ulcers, diabetic foot, venous ulcers, and burns. NPWT also aids in fighting against Surgical Site Infections and treatment of Impaired Wound Healing. The literature data on NPWT application in the treatment of cutaneous fistulas in the head and neck region consists of papers by Andrews et al., Dhir et al., Tay et al., Tian et al., Yang et al., and Kojima et al.

Yang et al. emphasize that the application of NPWT may constitute a useful indicator of mucous-end side water tightness, moreover by obliteration of dead spaces, it prevents the damage of large vessels and reduces the total treatment cost. In general, NPWT is depicted by Yang et al. as a convenient treatment modality for orocutaneous fistulas, which facilitates infection control and fistula obliteration. Tian et al. strongly recommend the use of NPWT in the treatment of orocutaneous fistulas, as none of the patients in their study experienced side effects of NPWT. Moreover, the authors indicate that the development of NPWT complications may result from either inappropriate patient selection or an incorrect NPWT application manner. Our observation is similar to the authors mentioned above – the median of total treatment cost in the NPWT group is lesser than in the control group, and the complication of NPWT usage in the form of pain and burning sensation was eliminated by more accurate surrounding skin protection. The study by Kojima et al. stands slightly in opposition to Yang et al. and Tian et al. studies, and our observation. They reported the lack of seal of NPWT dressings even when the negative pressure value was lowered to –200 mmHg. As a reason, the authors indicate: (1) complex outline of the wounds; (2) presence of facial hair; (3) the proximity to tracheostomy; (4) the communication of fistula with oral cavity and/or pharynx; (5) reduced tissue elasticity due to prior radiation therapy. Moreover, in this study, the use of NPWT raised the total therapy cost because of elongating the hospitalization time.

Our observation indicates that achieving the water tightness on the mucosal side of the fistula and thorough shaving of facial hair and skin degreasing provided sufficient seal for the dressings. Indeed after three days, the facial hair in males started to impair the dressing seal. Nevertheless, it seems that before the mentioned time, it is irrelevant.

The action of NPWT is based on (1) draining the pathological exudates from the wound bed, (2) reducing the edema, (3) maintaining the humid environment, and the positive effect of NPWT on leukocytes and fibroblasts migration and accumulation of growth factors has been demonstrated. Lower concentrations of metalloproteinases (MMPs) and raised levels of interleukin 8 (IL-8) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) have been observed. Analysis performed by Glass et al. stated that NPWT significantly reduces tumor necrosis factor (TNF) concentration in acute and chronic wounds and interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β) in acute wounds while having no influence on interleukin 6 (IL-6) levels. NPWT raises interleukin 10 (IL-10) systemic levels and interleukin 8 (IL-8) tissue concentrations. It raises VEGF and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, FGF2) excretion and reduces the expression of metalloproteinases 1, 2, 9, and 13. This treatment modality enhances wound blood supply, angiogenesis stimulation, granulation tissue formation, and epitelialization. Moreover NPWT leads to wound area reduction by contraction of wound margins. The optimal negative pressure value is –125 mmHg. According to literature data immediately upon surgical wound debridement, it is recommended to set negative pressure values between –150 mmHg and –200 mmHg, however, for the granulation tissue formation stimulation, it is advisable to lower the values to –110 mm Hg to –130 mmHg.

There are reports on the use of negative pressure values not exceeding –80 mmHg in order to minimize the possible tissue injury. Furthermore, the cases were described in which the negative pressure values were set to –50 mmHg in the therapy of ischemic wounds (Critical Limb Ischemia) providing satisfactory results without wound margins necrosis. According to Malmström et al., there are no significant differences in wound healing between negative pressure values of –50 mmHg, –75 mmHg, and –125 mmHg. Furthermore, the blood flow at –80 mmHg is similar to that at –125 mmHg. In conclusion, the authors suggest the use of higher pressure values in painful wounds with poor blood supply. Because of the anatomic factors NPWT in the region of head and neck is not straightforward in use; however, it is highly efficient in the treatment of impaired wound healing. Undoubtedly further investigation on the mechanism of action of NPWT is warranted. Likewise, there is little evidence on the use of NPWT in orocutaneous fistulas treatment, and further trials should be conducted. In this paper, we described a novel method of sealing a mucous-end fistula orifice preventing...
the salivary penetration. The dressings in the NPWT group were changed every 2 to 3 days, which turned out to be both cost-effective and convenient for patients.

**Conclusions**

The application of Negative Pressure Wound Therapy is a reasonable treatment modality for complications in maxillofacial surgery, including orocutaneous fistulas.
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Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT) in Breast Surgery
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**Abstract**—Background: The use of Negative Pressure Wound Dressing has been found to promote the wound healing process, therefore, reducing the risk of surgical site complications. The use of this technique amongst breast cancer patients, who have often encountered a distressing journey, may prove beneficial in making the post-operative process less eventful. Many of these patients have a limited time window to start adjuvant treatment. The use of a negative pressure device is recommended in both prophylactic and therapeutic scenarios. NPWT may also be used in patients who have undergone cosmetic breast surgery. We have evaluated the use of NPWT in breast surgery with an updated and systematic review of the available literature.

Methods: The authors systematically searched the PubMed, Science Direct, and Wiley Online databases using the phrases “Negative Pressure Wound Therapy in Breast surgery” and “Vacuum-Assisted Closure in Breast Wound” and all publications, including relevant data were considered eligible for inclusion in the review.

Results: We have found reports of 7 studies, 3 retrospective, 2 prospective, one randomized trial, and one case series. The complication rate in the NPWT group versus conventional dressing group has been reported in 5 papers. A statistically significant effect in favor of NPWT was documented in three trials.

Conclusion: The current evidence supports the notion that NPWT systems are beneficial in enhancing the healing of complicated breast wounds. However, larger studies exploring the effectiveness of this technique would be of interest to breast surgeons.

**Keywords**:—Negative Pressure Wound Therapy, vacuum-assisted closure, Breast cancer, Breast reconstruction

**INTRODUCTION**

The scope of breast surgery includes the management of benign and malignant breast disease either by mastectomy with or without reconstruction (autologous tissue as well as implant-based) or breast conservative surgery. Furthermore, it also encompasses aesthetic surgery such as breast augmentation or reduction. Complications associated with the post-operative wound-healing process remain one of the most common challenges and are potentially associated with delaying adjuvant therapy and diminishing the aesthetic result.

The benefits of using the Negative Pressure Wound Dressing in Breast surgery have been well documented. Breast cancer is considered the most frequently detected female malignancy worldwide and the dominant cause of cancer-related mortality amongst women. Although breast surgery is typically associated with a low risk of surgical site infection (SSI), the use of the Negative Pressure Wound Dressing further results in a favorable outcome.

We have studied available data that discuss the effectiveness of negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) systems in the management of post-surgical wounds involving the breast.

**METHODS**

The PRISMA principles have been followed during this review preparation. The PubMed, Science Direct, Wiley Online databases, and Scopus databases have been searched systematically. All the papers that revealed relevant data were considered eligible for inclusion in the review.

**INCLUSION CRITERIA**

We have looked at studies involving patients that underwent surgical breast procedures. The intervention under exploration was the use of NPWT in postoperative wounds. The comparator treatment was conventional dressings including dry wound dressing, alginate dressings or saline-soaked gauze dressings. Original papers such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), retrospective studies, prospective studies, and case series have been included and the full text of the paper was explored. Papers that do not refer to the use of NPWT in breast surgery were excluded. The primary outcome was complete wound closure. No minimum patient sample size per trial was required and no restriction was placed for study dates or periods. After selection, seven original research papers met the inclusion criteria and were finally included in this review (one randomized trial, three cohort retrospective studies, two prospective studies, and one
case series). The studies involved 492 female patients treated with NPWT versus 584 patients treated with conventional dressing methods.

RESULTS

A. Mechanism of action

The use of Negative Pressure Wound Dressing promotes wound healing by triggering several healing pathways i.e. angiogenesis which improves tissue oxygenation and aids migrating the inflammatory cells to the healing site. It also aids the diversion of the wound exudate away from the wound and promotes patient independence and improves quality of life.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\)

B. Device types and indications

The current devices that provide NPWT are vacuum-assisted closure (VAC) system and PICO\(^\text{TM}\) dressing. The PICO\(^\text{TM}\) dressing is a canister free; single-use topical NPWT system that maintains –80 mmHg pressure (Fig. 2). The NPWT systems are used to manage complex wounds such as those which are infected, diabetic foot ulcers, post-traumatic wounds, burns, and necrotizing fasciitis.\(^6\)

NPWT concept is continually evolving. In addition to the use of conventional NPWT it may also be used to manage post-surgical wound complications or as a prophylactic measure to reduce the infection risk.\(^8\) Negative Pressure Wound Therapy with the installation system (NPWTi) has also been developed. It incorporates the traditional NPWT and a local irrigation system within the wound cavity. NPWTi significantly reduces the growth of biofilm that colonize the wound cavity. Such formation of biofilm is considered to be one of the main factors impairing the wound healing process.\(^7\)

Stoeckel et al. retrospectively analyzed the data of 18 patients who had post-operative breast wound complications treated with NPWT. 15 of the patients underwent surgery for breast cancer, two had reduction mammoplasty, and one was treated for a recurrent primary breast abscess. 12 of the 15 cancer patients underwent mastectomy had subsequent breast reconstruction procedures. Seven wounds were related to implant or tissue expander placement. Four patients had complicated transverse rectus abdominus myocutaneous (TRAM) flap wounds, and one had a latissimus dorsi flap wound. 15 of 18 patients were treated effectively using NPWT. Two patients required muscle flap coverage. The hospital stay ranged from 3 to 54 days with a mean of 12.1 days. NPWT dressing has been used to promote wound healing after skin grafting, or as a mean to prepare the wound for surgical closure. Seven of the wounds healed by secondary intention, six were successfully treated with subsequent skin grafting, and two were treated with delayed primary closure. Two wounds were both complicated by tissue ischemia and infection requiring operative debridement (Tab. I). The authors concluded that vacuum-assisted closure therapy promotes faster healing and stimulates the formation of healthy granulation tissue.\(^8\)

C. NPWT in oncoplastic breast surgery boosts incision closure

Holt and Murphy from South Manchester University Hospital conducted a study to assess if the application of negative pressure wound therapy dressings (PICO\(^\text{TM}\)) on closed incisions in patients undergoing therapeutic resection promotes superior wound healing. 24 consecutive patients (over 20 months) were included in the study. They either underwent a therapeutic mammoplasty or skin-sparing mastectomy and immediate reconstruction with inferior dermal flap and implant placement. All patients had a simultaneous symmetric breast reduction at the same sitting. The therapeutic procedure side was supplied with PICO\(^\text{TM}\) dressings while the opposite breast reduction was dressed with conventional dressings. The overall rate of wound dehiscence was 4.2% (n = 1) on the therapeutic procedure side compared with 16.7% (n = 4) on the contralateral breast reduction side. The mean time to complete healing was 10.7 days in the therapeutic side treated with PICO\(^\text{TM}\) compared with 16.1
days on the contralateral side. One mastectomy patient had delayed wound healing at the T-junction on both sides (Tab. 1). The authors concluded that this evidence further supports the use of NPWT in oncoplastic breast procedures, as it reduces the rates of wound dehiscence, boosts healing, and allows commencement of adjuvant therapy.9

Ferrando et al. conducted a prospective study that included 37 cases. ciNPT was used in 17 cases (46%), whereas the remaining 20 (54%) had conventional post-operative wound dressing. The difference in complication rate between the 2 groups was significant, the ciNPT sample showed complication rates of only 1/25 (4%), as compared to 45% (10 out of 22) in the standard care group (Tab. 1). The study outcome supports the use of ciNPT in oncological breast surgery. Furthermore, the dressing is well-tolerated, adaptable, and has shown to improve scar outcomes especially in patients presenting with high-risk factors.10

Gabriel et al. investigated closed incision Negative Pressure Therapy (ciNPT) with a customizable dressing on 13 patients (25 breasts) who received immediate postmastectomy reconstruction as part of 2-stage expander/implant breast reconstruction. Nipple-sparing mastectomy was performed on 14 breasts, reduction-pattern mastectomy on 6 breasts, and skin-sparing mastectomy on 5 breasts. All post-mastectomy incisions were managed with ciNPT. The single-use therapy unit provided continuous negative pressure (~125 mmHg) with a replaceable 45 ml exudate canister. The wound dressing and ciNPT unit were designed for placement for up to 7 days. Surgical drains were routed under the skin beyond the ciNPT dressing and they functioned independently of ciNPT. The majority of patients (56.0%) were treated with nipple-sparing mastectomy. Overall mean ciNPT duration ranged from 3 to 5 days. The mean drain placement was 8.2 days. After three months follow-up, 96% (24/25 breasts) achieved complete healing. Superficial dehiscence occurred in 12% (3/25 breasts), and flap necrosis occurred in 4% (1/25 breasts) in the breast reduction-pattern group. One patient from the nipple-sparing mastectomy group developed a delayed hematoma postoperatively. No superficial wound dehiscence required surgical intervention. One obese, diabetic patient developed a flap necrosis which required surgical revision. All other breasts healed and remained closed at 3-month follow-up (Tab. 1). The paper concluded that ciNPT could be a viable option for wounds after immediate post-mastectomy reconstruction.11

In a cohort of 206 patients (228 breasts), Kim et al. examined the usefulness of the ciNPT to reduce mastectomy flap necrosis in immediate expander-based breast reconstruction. The incisional-NPWT group (45 breasts) had a lower overall complication rate in comparison with a conventional dressing group (11.1% vs. 27.9%, p = 0.019). In detail, the overall mastectomy flap necrosis rate was 8.9% (versus 23.5%; p = 0.030), and major mastectomy flap necrosis rate was 2.2% (versus 13.7%; p = 0.031 compared with the conventional dressing group, Tab. 1). The paper concluded that the use of NPWT is an effective method in reducing mastectomy flap necrosis in expander-based breast reconstruction.12

Gabriel et al. conducted a retrospective study comparing postoperative outcomes in patients who were treated with ciNPT versus standard of care (SOC) after breast reconstruction following mastectomy procedures. The authors investigated the medical records of 356 patients (ciNPT = 177, SOC = 179) with 665 closed breast incisions (ciNPT = 331, SOC = 334). Overall complication rate was 8.5% (28/331) in ciNPT group compared with 15.9% (53/334) in SOC group (p = 0.0092). Compared with the SOC group, the ciNPT group had significantly lower infection rates (7/331 (2.1%) versus 15/334 (4.5%), respectively; p = 0.0225). Time to complete drain removal per breast for ciNPT versus SOC groups was 9.9 versus 13.1 days (p < 0.0001), respectively. Patients who received ciNPT over closed incisions following mastectomy and breast reconstruction experienced a shorter time to surgical drain removal and significantly lower rates of infection, dehiscence, necrosis, and seromas, compared with the SOC group.13

D. NPWT in breast surgery transplants

Angspatt et al. in 2017 evaluated the efficacy of NPWT in preventing donor site seroma formation after the harvest of a latissimus dorsi muscle flap for breast reconstruction. It was a prospective matched-pair study, 40 patients were included. 20 patients had NPWT dressing at the donor site, and conventional wound dressing was used in the control group (n = 20). In the NPWT group, seroma incidence after the drain removal was significantly lower than in the control group (15% vs. 70%; odds ratio = 0.07, relative risk, 0.24). Both the mean percutaneous aspirated volume (p = 0.004) and the frequency of percutaneous aspirations (p = 0.001) were also significantly lower in the NPWT group (Tab. 1). The paper concluded that the use of NPWT reduces the seroma incidence after drain removal from the latissimus dorsi flap harvesting site.14

E. ciNPT after reduction mammoplasty decreases wound dehiscence risk

Galiano et al. presented a multinational, prospective, randomized, open trial to evaluate the efficacy of PICO™ (canister free; single-use NPWT system) on the prevention of post-surgical incision healing complications in 200 patients undergoing bilateral reduction mammoplasty (Tab. 1). One patient arm was treated with PICO™ on one breast and Steri-strips on the contralateral side. This group was assessed for local wound complications three weeks after the operation. Secondary objectives were to assess post-surgical complications (such as skin necrosis, hematoma, wound dehiscence and seromas), scar quality and the ease of application of PICO™ versus standard wound care. The outcome revealed a trend towards fewer complications and adverse events in the PICO™ group compared to conventional wound care. Results also found a 38% decrease in wound dehiscence, which was statistically significant.15

F. Complex cases

NPWT can also be used to manage complex postoperative complications relating to breast implant placement such as...
implant exposure after Acellular Dermal Matrix (ADM) reconstruction or following Nipple Area Complex (NAC) — sparing mastectomy. The NPWT allows for a rapid implant replacement after the implant pocket infection has been resolved.[16,17] Risk factors promoting surgical site infections include high BMI, diabetes mellitus, hypoalbuminemia, smoking, status post-chemotherapy, COPD, anemia, and immune-compromised patients. NPWT provides a safe alternative in such populations.

G. Surgical Site Infection and NPWT

It has been reported that NPWT, when applied prophylactically to a closed surgical wound, results in a decrease in the incidence of wound complications such as infection or collection of fluid.[15] Strugala et al., in 2017, conducted a meta-analysis to determine the impact of prophylactic use of NPWT on SSI, wound dehiscence and length of hospital stay. The outcome revealed a significant reduction of SSI, wound dehiscence and length of hospital stay. The study further supports the use of negative pressure wound therapy on incised wounds.[16,17] By 3-month follow-up 24 of 25 (96%) breasts achieved healing.

The use of NPWT in patients who underwent breast reconstruction significantly reduced the incidence rates of overall wound related complications.[16,17] The study further supports the use of negative pressure dressing group. Patients who received ciNPT over closed incisions experienced significantly lower rates of wound complications, compared with the SOC group. The results support the use of ciNPT in oncological breast surgery.[16,17]

Consequences include a prolonged hospital stay, delay in adjuvant treatment delivery, poor cosmesis, the need for further surgery and increased management costs. Furthermore, the use of negative pressure wound dressing and its associated benefits in reducing complications plays a part in easing a patient’s psychological stress in the post-operative period.

**CONCLUSION**

One in eight women is affected by breast cancer during their lifetime and surgery is an essential element in the management pathway.[21] As the majority of breast cancer patients will require adjuvant treatment after surgery, swift recovery is essential in preventing delays. Such delays ultimately affect outcome and survival. Furthermore, NPWT may play a role in improving the cosmetic outcome by reducing the tension in the surgical wound, obliteration of the dead space and minimizing tissue injury by protecting the wound from contamination and infection.[22] Randomized controlled clinical trials that are currently under progress will show if the NPWT is able to provide women undergoing immediate breast reconstruction, better outcomes due to a faster healing process and superior aesthetic results when compared to the conventional post-operative wound dressings.[23]

The current evidence supports the notion that NPWT systems are beneficial in enhancing the healing of complicated breast wounds. However, larger studies exploring the effectiveness of this technique are required.

---

* ciNPT: Closed Incision Negative Pressure Therapy, SOC: Standard Care of Therapy The complications included superficial dehiscence, skin flap necrosis infection, seroma, haematoma and exposed implant, T: Patients treated with NPWT methods, Breasts number, C: Patients treated with conventional methods, Breasts number, RCT - randomized control trial
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by supporting the use on new technologies in medicine

- clinical trials and observational studies
- medical registries
- mobile and web applications
- innovative medical devices
- telemedical and patient-oriented outcomes using mobile technologies

PROJECTS

Optima
Application designed for conducting a clinical trial in the field of pre-and postoperative nutrition. Medigent Foundation created in cooperation with Nutricia mobile application processing data in this area. The application is available on all platforms.

ECOLON
Application designed for conducting and evaluating a clinical trial on a group of patients testing new medical solutions. The application has been improved with a system which monitors daily quality of patient’s life and data analysis.

Medigent Leak
Medical application for risk assessment of postoperative complications. The solution gives doctors a tool that supports their clinical decisions. Application enables to quickly and accurately estimate the real risk of postoperative complications of the patient. An additional advantage of the application is the ability to automatically generate printable reports, which significantly improves the work of doctors.

Foundation currently supports work on two IoT medical devices that will soon support home and hospital patient care.
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